

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,254	10/25/2005	Hiroyuki İshii	5988-063-27 NAT'L	7337
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 01/09/2008 & GOLILD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903			WALKE, AMANDA C	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>'</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,254	ISHII ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amanda C. Walke	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 October 2005.				
,					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers		·			
	inor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ov the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
·	ian priority under 35 H S C &	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ight priority under 33 0.3.C. §	119(a)-(d) of (i).			
,	ents have been received.	·			
	- The same to the same that th				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bur					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/05</u> .	6)				

Application/Control Number:

10/533,254 Art Unit: 1795

•

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kodama (EP 1353225A2).

Kodama discloses a photoresist comprising a polymer having repeating units meeting the instant claim limitations. Some exemplified resins include those on pages 71-75, and as can be seen from the formulas, that respective monomers fall within the instantly claimed ranges.

Furthermore, with respect to claim 4, groups as instantly claimed are taught by the reference on pages 31 and 32 (see especially monomer 18). Given the teaching of equivalence between the monomer comprising an adamantyl group or different cyclic group, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing to replace the monomer having the alicyclic group in the exemplified polymers with monomer 18.

Alternatively, given that there are only 23 exemplified monomers for formula (pA), one of ordinary skill in the art would have immediately envisaged employing monomer 18. Given the

Application/Control Number:

10/533,254 Art Unit: 1795

7

amounts in the examples, the photoacid generator which may be a triphenyl sulfonium compound, meet the instant claim limitations for the amount of PAG (pages 15-19). Additional additives include solvents, crosslinking agents, dissolution inhibitors, and nitrogen containing basic compounds ([0390]). Regarding claim 9, the instant claims are drawn to a composition, and is deemed capable of functioning in the manner recited.

M.P.E.P. § 2113:

"Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)... "The Patent Office bears a lesser burden proof in making out a case of *prima facie* obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature" than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974). Once the Examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Given the teachings of the reference, the instant claims are anticipated by the reference.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing to employ a terpolymer comprising one monomer from each of the claimed formulas.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

Application/Control Number:

10/533,254

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MMMACUA Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

ACW January 6, 2008